

Re: NASA Test Plan**Mocka, Corey** <mocka.corey@epa.gov>

Tue 8/3/2010 10:01 AM

To: Liu, Xiaoyu <Liu.Xiaoyu@epa.gov>**Cc:** Nancy Roache <Roache.NancyLNDU@usepa.onmicrosoft.com> 1 attachments (67 KB)

NASA-ST02 Test Plan Final 1.2.doc;

Xiaoyu,

I have modified the NASA test plan as you have suggested and cut the sample amount in half. I'm going to leave the field blank wipe sample in, just to compare whether the paste is just "extracting" the PCBs on the surface due to contact or from deeper within the paint. Let me know the new sample list is acceptable.

Thanks,
Corey

<< NASA-ST02 Test Plan Final 1.2.doc >>

Corey Mocka | Scientist | Contractor for the U.S. EPA
ARCADIS U.S., Inc. | 4915 Prospectus Drive, Suite F | Durham, NC 27713
T. 919.541.2862 | F. 919.544.5690 | corey.mocka@arcadis-us.com
www.arcadis-us.com

From: Xiaoyu Liu/RTP/USEPA/US
To: Corey Mocka/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Nancy Roache/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 07/23/2010 07:55 AM
Subject: Re: NASA Test Plan

Hi Corey,

I suggest reduce some samples. Our final goal is to apply it to the caulk which will take longer treatment time than paint due to the caulk thickness. We may can take out the day 2 and day 5 (or day 3) samples. Also, since this is a scouting test, we do not need duplicate of field blank. Will FB1A and B tell you the original Aroclor concentration in the paint? will it be used for calculation? What's the comparison for? Do we really need FB2?

Thanks.

Xiaoyu

From: Corey Mocka/RTP/USEPA/US
To: Xiaoyu Liu/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Nancy Roache/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 07/21/2010 12:25 PM
Subject: NASA Test Plan

Hi Xiaoyu,
Attached is the NASA test plan.

Corey

[attachment "NASA-ST02 Test Plan Final 1.1.doc" deleted by Xiaoyu Liu/RTP/USEPA/US]

Corey Mocka | Scientist | Contractor for the U.S. EPA
ARCADIS U.S., Inc. | 4915 Prospectus Drive, Suite F | Durham, NC 27713
T. 919.541.2862 | F. 919.544.5690 | corey.mocka@arcadis-us.com
www.arcadis-us.com

